

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,039	03/11/2004	Barton A. Thomson	8221	4960
75	590 09/06/2006	•	EXAM	INER
KENNETH L. MITCHELL			YEE, DEBORAH	
(WOODLING, KROST AND RUST) 9213 CHILLICOTHE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KIRTLAND, OH 44094			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/798,039	THOMSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deborah Yee	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 A	Nugust 2006.					
·- · ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	<b>l.</b>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to	b by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
•						
• Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-10-05;9-08-05.	6) Other:	асы Аррисация (РТО-132)				

Application/Control Number: 10/798,039 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 19 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on August 9, 2006.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: There is a typoerror on last two lines of claim 6 which recites, "from about 90 to about 98 percent to about percent of the thickness". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 to 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crowther et al publication (hereinafter Crowther) submitted by applicant in IDS dated March 10, 2005.
- 5. Similar to the present invention, Crowther in paragraph 2.1 on page 637 discloses a thin slab direct rolling process for V-N micro-alloyed steels comprising the steps of: casting steel to produce 50 mm thick ingots; directly hot charging ingots in an

Application/Control Number: 10/798,039 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

equalization furnace at 1050C, 1100C or 1200C for 30 to 60 minutes; ingots, which are at a temperature above recrystallization temperature and above precipitation temperature, are then subjected to rolling in a reverse rolling mill to produce 7 mm strips with 5 rolling passes at a total reduction of 86%; and subjected cooling and coiling to form ferrite microstructure. Note that after the 4<sup>th</sup> pass, the strips were held until a temperature of 870C was reached with a holding time of 25 to 40 second to produce a fine and uniform prior austenite grain structure.

- 6. Even though present invention requires 2 rolling apparatus as recited by one or more of the claims whereas Crowther teaches one reverse rolling mill, such would not be a patentable difference since it would be a matter of choice well within the skill of the artisan to select type of rolling apparatus to apply to process ,which is productive of no new and unexpected results.
- 7. Even though prior art does not teach the reduction rate for the second rolling apparatus to be less than the reduction rate for the first rolling apparatus as recited by step (g), such would not be a patentable difference since it would obvious and a matter of routine optimization well within the skill of the artisan to select higher reduction rate during the initial passes because steel is at a higher temperature and has greater workability
- 8. Crowther disclose as-cast steel product at 50 mm thickness, and is within the recited ranges of 30 to 200 mm and 50 to 80 mm recited by claims 3 and 4, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,039 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

9. Crowther process discloses a total reduction of 86% which suggest the reduction rates recited by claims 5 and 6.

- 10. Even though Crowther process produces a steel having a thickness of 7mm whereas present invention recites a finished hot rolled product at 1 to 6 mm recited by claim 7 and 1 to 2 mm recited by claim 8, such would not be a patentable difference since it would be a matter choice well within the skill of the artisan to select thickness.
- 11. Prior art teaches a micro-alloyed V-N steel similar in composition to the present invention; and hence would be expected to have a precipitation temperature at 1050C as recited by claim 9.
- 12. Crowther in Table 5 on page 641 produces a steel having a yield strength of 570MPA, and is within the claimed range of at least 550MPA recited by claims 10 and 11.
- 13. Prior art teaches a flat rolled product produced in substantially the same manner as claimed by applicants and hence would be expected to have the n-value property recited by claim 12.
- 14. In regard to claim 17, Crowther teaches in paragraph 2.1 on page 637 that steel is held at recrystallization temperature for 25 to 40 seconds (within recited range of 15 to 25 seconds) to complete recrystallization. Grain size of 100 to 400 microns would be expected since process steps are closely met, and in absence of proof to the contrary.
- 15. In regard to claim 18, Crowther begins hot rolling at 1050 or 1100C and is within the recited range of 1020 to 1150C.

Art Unit: 1742

16. The unapplied references have been cited to further depict the state of the art in low-alloy steel strip.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-27211253. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday 6:00am-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deborah Yee Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742